Abstract

Background. Asiatic (AA) and ursolic (UA) acids are widely studied phytochemicals, but their antimicrobial properties are still poorly understood. Therefore our research has focused on their activity against uropathogenic Enterococcus faecalis strains.

Objectives. The aim of this research was to determine the influence of AA and UA on the growth, cell morphology, virulence factors and biofilm formation by E. faecalis strains.

Material and methods. AA and UA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. E. faecalis strains were isolated from the urine samples of patients with urinary tract infections. The strains were checked for the presence of virulence genes using the PCR method. Their antimicrobial susceptibility was performed using the disc diffusion method. The MICs of triterpenes were determined using the broth microdilution method. The hydrophobicity of cells was established by salt aggregation test. Lipase and lecithinase activities were determined by using an agar medium containing egg yolk emulsion. DNase agar was used for the detection of DNase synthesis. Hemolytic activity was established using a sheep-blood agar. Todd-Hewitt agar medium containing gelatin was used for determination of gelatinase activity. The anti-biofilm activity of asiatic acid and ursolic acid was tested on polystyrene microtiter plates. It was examined using time-kill and biofilm assays.

Results. Reduction of growth and enzyme synthesis after exposure of E. faecalis to the acids was observed. None of the acids changed the hydrophobicity of bacteria. Stronger anti-biofilm activity was observed when the bacteria were incubated with AA. Thus, reduction of both the survival and the virulence factors will make bacteria less infectious.

Conclusions. Based on the results obtained, we can assume that the triterpenes investigated should be considered natural components of a human diet rather than as antibacterial agents used on their own.

Key words: Enterococcus faecalis, pentacyclic triterpenes, asiatic acid, ursolic acid, antimicrobial agents
Pentacyclic triterpenes are secondary metabolites widely distributed in the plant kingdom. They are the subject of numerous phytochemical and pharmacological studies. Plants with a high content of pentacyclic triterpenes are often used in herbal medicine due to their valuable therapeutic properties.1 Asiatic acid (2α,3β,23-trihydroxyurs-12-en-28-oic, AA) and ursolic acid (3β-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-oic, UA) belong to the group of pentacyclic triterpenes. AA is a secondary metabolite first found in medicinal plant *Centella asiatica* L. (*ApioACEae*). The leaves of this herb are used in the treatment of diverse human disorders.2–3 UA is present in a wide variety of plants, such as: apple and grape peels, cranberries, lavender, rosemary, thyme, oregano, salvia, basil, leaves of yerba mate and many others.1 AA and UA have similar chemical formulas (Fig. 1), but they differ in the number of CH₃ and OH groups.

Many studies have confirmed the antitumor, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, antiprotozoal, antidiabetic, antihyperlipidemic and anti-osteoporotic, as well as neuroprotective and hepatoprotective activities of pentacyclic triterpenes.2–6 However, there are only a few studies describing the antimicrobial effects of these compounds.7–9 Usually the studies focus on the determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of the triterpenes. The influence of triterpenes on bacterial virulence factors has not yet been precisely described. Our previous studies have shown that AA and UA change the virulence of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains.10–12 Impairment of the virulence factors decreases the pathogenicity of bacteria and thus reduces the development of infection.

*Enterococcus faecalis* is a gram-positive bacteria often associated with serious nosocomial infections of which urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most widespread. These infections can be difficult to treat because of the frequent resistance of *E. faecalis* to multiple antibiotics.13 For this reason, the need for alternative solutions for UTI prophylaxis and treatment nowadays is more important than ever.

Therefore, the aim of our study was to establish the effect of AA and UA on growth, virulence factors and biofilm formation by uropathogenic *E. faecalis* strains isolated from urine samples of patients suffering from recurrent UTIs.

**Material and methods**

**Isolation and identification of bacterial strains**

*E. faecalis* strains (n = 10) were isolated from the urine samples of ambulatory patients with urinary tract infections. Bacteria were identified using the API ID32-Strep system (bioMerieux, Poland). The isolates were maintained on Mueller-Hinton agar slopes (Oxoid, UK) at 4°C. To confirm the membership of the tested strains to *E. faecalis* a PCR was performed with primers specific for the *E. faecalis* species.14

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

Antimicrobial susceptibility of *E. faecalis* strains was performed using the disc diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar according to CLSI.15 The following antibiotics were tested: ampicillin (2 μg), gentamicin (30 μg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (trimethoprim 1.25 μg and sulfamethoxazole 23.75 μg), and nitrofurantoin (100 μg). Bacteria were interpreted as susceptible or resistant according to the sensitivity zones of antibiotics as recommended by EUCAST.16

---

**Fig. 1.** Chemical structures of a) asiatic acid and b) ursolic acid. A, B, C, D, E – the names of rings in the molecules
Detection of virulence-related genes

Bacterial DNA was obtained by using GeneMATRIX Bacterial & Yeast Genomic DNA Purification Kit (EURx, Poland). The following virulence-related genes were amplified by PCR: gelE (gelatinase), esp (enterococcal surface protein), cylA (cytolysin activator) and cylB (cytolysin transporter), asa (aggregation substance) and ace (enterococcal adhesin to collagen). Specific primer sequences and expected amplicon sizes were described by Cosentino et al. and Creti et al.\(^s\) PCR amplification products were visualized and analyzed using the Quantity One Software (Bio-Rad, USA).

Pentacyclic triterpenes

Asiatic acid (AA, purity ≥ 97%) and ursolic acid (UA, purity ≥ 90%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poznań, Poland) and dissolved in 96% ethanol to obtain stock solution. For all experiments, acids were diluted with Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB; Biocorp, Poland).

Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The MICs of AA and UA were determined by the broth microdilution method recommended by the CLSI.\(^s\)

Effect of AA and UA on bacterial survival

The strains were grown overnight, and then transferred to MHB and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Following incubation, the bacterial cells were centrifuged (4000 rpm/20 min) and suspended in PBS to reach the final density 1–2 × 10^8 CFU/mL. The bacterial suspension and AA or UA were mixed together to obtain 0.75 × MIC of triterpene in each sample. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, then diluted and cultured on nutrient agar plates (Biomed, Poland). After 0, 2, 4, 6, and 24 h of incubation at 37°C, the number of CFU/mL was counted. Control samples contained no triterpenes.

Effect of triterpenes on bacterial morphology

*E. faecalis* strains were incubated at 37°C for 24 h with AA or UA at concentrations of 0.75 × MIC. The bacterial samples were then washed 3 times in PBS. The final pellets were Gram-stained and observed under microscope (Nikon Eclipse 400). The changes in bacterial cell morphology were recorded.

Effect of AA and UA on hydrophobicity of bacterial cells

The bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C in the presence of 0.75 × MIC of AA or UA. Next, the bacteria were harvested by centrifugation (4000 rpm for 20 min) and resuspended in PBS to obtain a final optical density (measured at 470 nm) of 1.0. The salt aggregation test (SAT) of ammonium sulphate was used.\(^s\) The lowest concentration of ammonium sulphate at which bacteria aggregated was determined. Based on the SAT values, the strains were classified as: 0.1–0.2 mol/L, very strongly hydrophobic; 0.4–1.0 mol/L, strongly hydrophobic; 1.2–1.6 mol/L, hydrophobic; 1.8–3.2 mol/L, hydrophilic.

Effect of AA and UA on enzyme activity

Lipase: Lipase activity was determined as described by Furumura et al.\(^s\) The appearance of an opaque zone around the well indicated lipolytic activity.

Lecithinase: To determine lecithinase synthesis, 0.02 mL of bacterial suspension was inoculated onto plates with agar containing 10% egg yolk emulsion and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The appearance of an opaque zone around the well indicated lecithinase activity.

Hemolysins: The volume of 0.02 mL of bacterial suspension was spot-inoculated onto 5% sheep-blood agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Hemolytic activity was confirmed by the appearance of a clear zone around the bacterial colonies.

Gelatinase: The volume of 0.02 mL of bacterial suspension was spot-inoculated onto Todd-Hewitt agar medium containing gelatin. Gelatinase production was confirmed by the appearance of a turbidity zone around the bacterial spots.

DNase: For detection of DNase synthesis, 0.02 mL of bacterial suspension was cultured on plates with DNase agar (Oxoid, UK). After 24 h of incubation at 37°C, the plates were flooded with 1 mol/L HCl. Transparent zones around the colonies indicated DNase activity.

Effect of AA and UA on biofilm production

The biofilm production assay was performed according to O’Toole and Kolter with slight modifications. Briefly, diluted cultures (1–2 × 10^8 CFU/mL) were inoculated into a polystyrene plate’s wells containing 0.2 mL of MHB and AA or UA at a concentration of 0.75 × MIC. After incubation for 1–10 days at 37°C, the wells were rinsed. Bacterial cells attached to the plate’s walls were stained with 1% (w/v) crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich, Poland) and rinsed. The dye bound to bacteria was resolubilized with 95% (v/v) ethanol. The optical density (OD) was measured at 590 nm (Infinite® 200 PRO, TECAN, Switzerland). The ODc value was defined according to Stepanovic et al.\(^s\) In the current research, the ODc was 0.047. *E. faecalis* strains were classified as follows: OD ≤ ODc, no biofilm producer; ODc ≤ OD < 2 × ODc, weak biofilm producer; 2 × ODc < OD ≤ 4 × ODc, moderate biofilm producer; OD > 4 × ODc, strong biofilm producer.
Bacterial survival in biofilm in the presence of AA or UA

This experiment was done according to the method by Di Bonaventura et al.\textsuperscript{27} Bacterial survival in biofilms was assessed after 1–10 days. Bacterial cultures were washed to remove non-adherent cells. Biofilms were scraped and transferred into microtubes containing PBS and centrifuged to separate the cells from the biofilm matrix. Bacteria were plated onto nutrient agar plates and the CFU/mL was counted.

Statistical analysis

All values are expressed as a mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. Statistical differences between bacterial strains exposed to triterpenes and unexposed (controls) were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. All statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA v. 12.0 (StatSoft, Poland). Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

Results

In our study, ten clinical \textit{E. faecalis} strains possessed virulence-related genes: \textit{gelE}, \textit{esp}, \textit{cylA}, \textit{cylB}, \textit{asa}, and \textit{ace}, which are important in the pathogenesis of enterococcal infections.\textsuperscript{28}

All tested strains of \textit{E. faecalis} demonstrated high level resistance to gentamicin (HLGR), 60% were resistant to nitrofurantoin, 50% to ampicillin, and 40% to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Table 1).

The MICs of AA and UA against \textit{E. faecalis} isolates are presented in Table 2. The MICs of AA were 64 and 128 μg/mL. The MICs of UA were in the range of 32–512 μg/mL. No correlation was noticed when the susceptibility pattern and MIC values of pentacyclic triterpenes were compared.

In the current study, the effect of AA and UA on the growth of planktonic forms of \textit{E. faecalis} was examined. The anti-growth effect of both triterpenes was observed after 2, 4, 6 and 24 h of incubation (Fig. 2). The greatest activity of AA was noticed after 24 h (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H = 17.841$, $p = 0.0001$; Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p = 0.00007$). The survival of bacteria was decreased 250-fold in comparison to the control sample (control – 37.5 × 10$^7$ CFU/mL; AA – 0.15 × 10$^7$ CFU/mL). The statistically significant bactericidal activity of UA was noticed after 2, 4 and 6 h of incubation (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H = 17.841$, $p = 0.0001$; Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p = 0.00007$). The most reduced survival was observed after 6 h of incubation. The CFU/mL were 32.7 × 10$^7$ and 0.042 × 10$^7$ in the control and the sample with UA, respectively.

We also found alterations of the size and arrangement of bacterial cells in samples containing AA (Fig. 3). \textit{E. faecalis cocci} cultured in presence of AA were bigger than those incubated in UA, and formed aggregates instead of chains, in comparison to untreated bacteria.

In the current study, we demonstrated that all clinical \textit{E. faecalis} strains possess a very strong hydrophobic cell surface, which confirms the important role of this trait in non-specific mechanism of adhesion. Unfortunately, our experiments have shown that none of the acids changed the hydrophobic nature of the \textit{E. faecalis} isolates.

We also determined the effect of AA and UA on the ability of \textit{E. faecalis} strains to synthesize lipase, lecithinase, DNase, hemolysin and gelatinase.

As shown in Fig. 4a, AA significantly reduced the synthesis of lipase in 4 \textit{E. faecalis} strains (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$), and UA in 6 cases (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$). One of the tested strains significantly increased lipase production after the treatment by UA. The opaque zone diameters became wider in comparison with untreated bacteria (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.001$).

In our study, 8 out of 10 strains synthesized lecithinase in control conditions. It is worth noting that AA significantly decreased production of this enzyme in 5 \textit{E. faecalis} strains (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$) and completely inhibited its secretion in one case (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 4b). Two strains of cocci grown in the presence of UA completely stopped the synthesis of lecithinase (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.001$).

As shown in Fig. 4c, UA decreased the secretion of hemolysins in 4 \textit{E. faecalis} strains (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$) and completely inhibited hemolytic activity in 3 cases (Dunn’s Multiple

Table 1. Susceptibility pattern of \textit{E. faecalis} strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>\textit{E. faecalis} strain No.</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>69</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantoin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R – resistant; S – sensitive.

Table 2. The MICs of AA and UA against \textit{E. faecalis} strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>\textit{E. faecalis} strain No.</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>69</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA (µg/mL)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (µg/mL)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison test, $p < 0.001$). The anti-hemolytic activities of AA were significant in 5 *E. faecalis* strains (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.001$).

In our study, 8 out of 10 strains synthesized gelatinase in control conditions (Fig. 4d). The anti-gelatinase effect of triterpenes was noted in the case of 5 strains incubated with AA and 2 strains incubated with UA (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.001$).

The results of the current study showed that only 3 *E. faecalis* strains produced DNase. The synthesis of this enzyme was completely suppressed only in 1 isolate treated with UA (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.001$).

The results showing the influence of AA and UA on biofilm formation by *E. faecalis* strains are given in Fig. 5a. The cultures of untreated *E. faecalis* strains incubated up to 10 days produced weak (0.047 < OD ≤ 0.094) or moderate (0.094 < OD ≤ 0.188) biofilm. The reduction of biofilm was observed when bacteria were incubated with AA during the whole time of observation. The mean OD values were ≤ 0.047. There were significant differences between OD values of biofilm in the presence of AA and control samples after the 1st, 7th and 10th days of incubation (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.001$). A weaker effect on the biofilm formation ability was exerted by UA. The OD values were lower compared to the control samples with the exception of the 2nd, 3rd and 8th day. Bacteria incubated in the presence of UA still produced weak or moderate biofilm. The mean OD value was 0.039. There were no significant differences between the OD values of biofilm in the presence of UA and control samples (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p > 0.05$).

In our study, the bacterial survival in biofilm mass was determined after each time of incubation. As shown in Fig. 5b, the number of bacterial cells per mL has been decreased in cultures containing both AA or UA compared to control samples. In the biofilms formed in the presence of AA, the CFU/mL ranged from $0.003 \times 10^7$ to $0.107 \times 10^7$. The values of CFU/mL in the biofilms treated with UA was much higher and ranged from $0.130 \times 10^7$ to $35.9 \times 10^7$. There were significant differences between the CFU/mL in the biofilms treated with AA and control samples (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.001$). Statistically significant differences in CFU/mL were present between the biofilms treated with UA and untreated after the 1st, 2nd and 3rd day of incubation (Dunn’s Multiple Comparison test, $p < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

The search for alternative methods of treatment of infections caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria is a major challenge of medicine today. Currently, an increased interest can be observed in plant compounds that can be used to support the standard therapy or to prevent bacterial and fungal infections. Among others, pentacyclic triterpenes are being intensively examined due to their multiple pharmaceutical activities combined with relatively low toxicity to eukaryotic cells.$^2$–$^6$

The MICs of AA were 64 or 128 µg/mL for all studied strains. Similarly, Taemchuay et al. showed that the
MIC values of AA against *Staphylococcus aureus* ranged from 20 to 160 µg/mL. In contrast to our results, Liu et al. showed the MICs of AA against gram-positive (*E. faecalis*, *S. aureus*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Bacillus cereus*) and gram-negative (*Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) bacteria were significantly lower and ranged from 20 ± 2 to 36 ± 4 µg/mL. The differences in MICs may be caused by differences in methods used for MIC value determination, different species of tested bacteria and various sources of origin of these microorganisms. In contrast to results obtained by Horiuchi et al., the MIC values of UA in our study were much higher and for different strains ranged from 32 to 512 µg/mL. Our results are similar to those published by Fontanay et al., who established that the MIC values of UA against clinical strains of *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* were ≥ 256 µg/mL. However, the results of Horiuchi et al. as well as our previous studies have shown that the MICs of AA and UA against gram-negative bacteria were higher than the MICs for gram-positive strains. Such discrepancy is associated with the structural differences between the cell walls of these 2 types of bacteria. Gram-negative bacteria have an outer membrane with lipopolysaccharide in its outer leaflet and phospholipids in the inner leaflet. This specific structure is an effective barrier protecting gram-negative rods from chemical factors.

Our results showed that UA has better anti-growth activity to planktonic forms of *E. faecalis* than AA. Kim et al. have also demonstrated that UA used in a sub-inhibitory concentration (0.5 × MIC) reduced the survival of planktonic forms of the oral *Streptococcus sobrinus* strain. Such activity of UA may be related to the differences in the chemical structure of the examined triterpenes (Fig. 1). The number of hydroxyl and methyl groups is different in AA and UA. It should be noted that AA has 6 methyl groups attached to C4, C8, C10, C14, C19, and C20. UA contains one more methyl group (in the C4 position), therefore is more hydrophobic than AA. Moreover, Broniatowski et al. have found that pentacyclic triterpene acids interact with the phospholipids of bacterial membranes. In particular, UA disintegrates cardiolipin-rich domains present in membranes, which can lead to the destruction of bacterial cells and their death.

The alterations of the size and arrangement of bacterial cells found in our research were similar to those observed by Ramirez-Arcos et al. for *E. faecalis* strains with a mutation in a gene encoding for a protein responsible for cell di-
vision and chromosome segregation. It was demonstrated that abnormal chromosome segregation and disruptions of cell division can cause the phenotypic changes in the cells morphology e.g. increase the cell diameter and the occurrence of irregular groupings of cells. Therefore, it can be assumed that AA interferes with cell division processes.

The first step in the pathogenesis of UTIs is adhesion of the microorganism to host tissues. One of the important factors involved in this process is the hydrophobic character of the bacterial cell surface. The current research has shown no activity of both pentacyclic triterpenes on cell surface hydrophobicity. Our previous study showed that the impact of AA and UA on this virulence factor of clinical E. coli rods was very weak.

Another important mechanism in the pathogenesis of UTIs is the secretion by bacteria of the host's tissue-damaging extracellular enzymes (e.g. lipases, lecithinase, gelatinase, DNase) and toxins (e.g. hemolysins). Liu et al. established that AA destroys the cell membrane integrity and causes its dysfunction. Also, Broniatowski et al. indicated that UA may incorporate into the bacterial membrane leading to its structural and functional alterations. Cell membrane damage may impair the transport of enzymes and toxins from the bacteria to the external environment. Therefore, our results showed that secretion of lipase, lecithinase, gelatinase, DNase and hemolysin by E. faecalis strains decreased after incubation with AA or UA. No other articles have been published regarding the antibacterial activity of pentacyclic triterpenes on bacterial protein secretion, therefore there was no possibility to compare our results with others.

Pathogenic microorganisms rarely exist as single cells. Bacteria usually form biofilms consisting of exopolysaccharide-surrounded microcolonies. Pathogens growing in a biofilm mass are a serious threat to human health because of their resistance to immune system factors and antibiotics. The better anti-biofilm activity of AA than UA might be associated with the chemical nature of these acids. AA possesses 3 hydroxyl groups (C2, C3, C23) that make it hydrophilic. UA has only one hydroxyl group (C3) and therefore is hydrophobic. Probably due to its hydrophilic nature, AA better penetrates into biofilm structures. The influence of UA on biofilm formation has been reported by other researchers.

The survival of E. faecalis in biofilm mass decreased after the treatment in both triterpenes. Garo et al. evaluated that AA applied alone did not reduce the cell viability of P. aeruginosa biofilms. However, this pentacyclic triterpene increased the susceptibility of biofilm bacteria to antibiotics.

Based on the results obtained, we can assume that the triterpenes investigated should be considered natural components of a human diet rather than as antibacterial agents used on their own.
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