

KATARZYNA ZABŁOCKA-SŁOWIŃSKA^{1, A-D}, EWELINA DZIELSKA^{1, B-D},
IWONA GRYSZKIN^{2, B}, HALINA GRAJETA^{1, E, F}

Dietary Supplementation During Diabetes Therapy and the Potential Risk of Interactions

¹ Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Wrocław Medical University, Poland

² Nutrition and Dietetics Clinic, North Public Health Care Institution, Wrocław, Poland

A – research concept and design; B – collection and/or assembly of data; C – data analysis and interpretation; D – writing the article; E – critical revision of the article; F – final approval of article; G – other

Abstract

Background. The classification of dietary supplements as foodstuffs promotes widespread access to them and increases the possibility of patients using them without being monitored. Unreasonable or excessive consumption of these preparations poses risks to type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients (among others) because it may induce disturbances in glycemic control. The aim of this study was to assess the frequency of dietary supplementation among patients using anti-diabetic drugs and such patients' nutrient intake in order to evaluate the potential risk of interactions.

Material and Methods. The study participants were 150 diabetic patients who were asked about the type of pharmacotherapy and dietary supplementation they used. The intake of minerals, vitamins, dietary fiber and long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs) from the patients' diets were also assessed, using the 24-h dietary recall method.

Results. The highest percentage of patients taking individual anti-diabetic drugs used supplements containing magnesium and herbs. They also often took antioxidant vitamins, B-group vitamins and omega-3 fatty acids. In the majority of patients (both those using supplements and those not), the dietary recall showed insufficient intake of potassium, calcium and magnesium, as well as of vitamin E, folic acid, vitamin D and LC-PUFAs. In addition, their diets provided high median amounts of iron, copper, vitamin A and β -carotene.

Conclusions. The level of dietary supplementation and the ill-balanced diets reported by the majority of the recruited T2DM patients indicate a high possible risk of interactions with the anti-diabetic drugs. Therefore, patients should always consult their physicians regarding dietary supplementation, and medically trained staff should routinely assess dietary intake to avoid hazardous changes in the activity of drugs (*Adv Clin Exp Med* 2014, 23, 6, 939–946).

Key words: dietary supplements, nutrients, anti-diabetic drugs, interactions.

Complete treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) includes pharmacotherapy and complementary actions such as a well-balanced diet, physical activity and generally leading a healthy lifestyle. Numerous data confirm that patients suffering from T2DM tend to make dietary mistakes, especially insufficient micronutrient intake [1–4]. A low intake of minerals and vitamins is very hazardous due to increasing disturbances in carbohydrate metabolism which can influence the pharmacological control of glycemia [5, 6]. Increasing public awareness of the role of nutrition in the prophylaxis and dietary therapy of many diseases,

including T2DM, can lead patients to take dietary supplements, which are very often incorrectly considered substitutes for a well-balanced diet.

The classification of dietary supplements as foodstuffs increases their availability and the possibility of their unmonitored use [7]. Dietary supplementation may compensate for deficiencies of nutrients in a typical diet (especially a typical Western diet). However, unreasonable or excessive consumption of these preparations can pose a risk to consumers. There are few works in the available literature addressing the frequency of dietary supplementation by T2DM patients and evaluating

the potential risk of interactions with anti-diabetic drugs [8]. Polypharmacy is frequently observed among T2DM patients and is the key problem in giving good guidance to patients. In addition, a poorly-balanced diet and excessive supplementation may contribute to disturbances in glycemic control. The purpose of this study was therefore to assess the frequency of dietary supplementation among patients using anti-diabetic drugs and such patients' nutrient intake, in order to evaluate the potential risk of interactions.

Material and Methods

The study participants were 150 patients (100 women and 50 men) with diagnosed T2DM. All the patients were interviewed by trained staff using a questionnaire constructed by the authors, which consisted of questions concerning the use of anti-diabetic drugs and dietary supplements. The patients were also asked about the time interval between drug and dietary supplement administration and about their awareness of the potential interactions between them.

Nutrient Intake

All the participants' intakes of minerals, vitamins, dietary fiber and long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs) were assessed to compare the nutrient intake and (among those taking dietary supplements) the relevance of dietary supplementation. The 24-h dietary recall method was used to collect diet-related data. Nutrient intake was calculated with DIETA 5.0 software (IŻŻ National Food and Nutrition Institute, Warsaw, Poland) and compared with the Estimated Average Requirements (EAR) [9]. Intake of β -carotene was compared with the upper level (UL), whereas intake of Na, K, Mn and dietary fiber was compared with adequate intake (AI) [9, 10]. The EAR (UL or AI) cut-offs were used to assess the prevalence of insufficient dietary intake of vitamins, minerals, dietary fiber and LC-PUFAs.

Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using STATISTICA v. 10.0 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Differences in dietary nutrient intake between the patients using supplements and those not using them were evaluated with the *U* Mann Whitney test for nonparametric data. Differences in the percentage of supplemented and non-supplemented patients whose intake of nutrients was below the cut-off points were evaluated with the χ^2 test. A *p* value

less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All parameters are presented as median and range.

Results

The Participants' Characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the T2DM patients who participated in the study are presented in Table 1. The median age of the patients and

Table 1. Characteristics of T2DM patients (n = 150) enrolled in the study (% of patients)

Parameters	T2DM patients
Female/male, number	100/50
Age in years	64.0 (41.0–88.0)
Diabetes duration in years	5.3 (0.1–30.0)
Antihyperglycemic drugs	
Metaformin/SU/insulin/acarbose	59.3/35.3/35.3/6.7
Coexistence of other diseases (with prescribed drugs)	
CVD/dyslipidemia	74.7/50.0
Dietary supplementation	
Yes/no	51.3/48.7
1 preparation	29.9*
2–3 preparations	53.2*
≥ 4 preparations	9.1*
Awareness of interaction between dietary supplements and drugs	
Yes/probably/no	20.0/32.7/47.3
Obtained information on possible interaction between dietary supplements and drugs	
Yes/no	15.6/84.4*
Physicians/pharmacist/nurses	83.3/8.3/8.3
Alcohol consumption	
Yes/no	31.3/68.7
Regularly/occasionally	72.3/27.7**
Spirits (vodka, cognac, liquor)/beer/wine	63.8/23.4/48.9**
Cigarette smoking	
Yes/no	12.0/88.0

T2DM – type 2 diabetes mellitus; SU – sulfonylurea; CVD – cardiovascular disease; *percentage of patients taking dietary supplements (n = 77); **percentage of patients consuming alcohol (n = 47); values in years are median (range).

median diabetes duration were 64.0 years and 5.3 years, respectively. The highest percentage of patients took metformin, followed by sulfonylurea, insulin and acarbose. About half of the recruited patients declared that they took dietary supplements. Most of them reported taking 2 or 3 supplements, and a 10th of them reported taking 4 or more. Only about 16% of the patients taking dietary supplements had received information about possible interactions between those preparations and drugs. Out of those, the highest percentage had received that information from physicians. Most of the patients declared that they did not drink alcohol and did not smoke. Among the patients who reported drinking alcohol, the majority declared regular consumption (minimum once a week).

Dietary Supplementation

The frequency of simultaneous use of dietary supplementation and anti-diabetic drugs is presented in Table 2.

Magnesium was the most popular dietary supplement among the study participants, followed by various herbs, B-group vitamins and EPA/DHA. A very small percentage of the patients supplemented their diets with dietary fiber, white mulberry and chromium.

The highest percentage of the metformin patient group used supplements containing magnesium, herbs, B-group vitamins, antioxidant vitamins and omega-3 fatty acids. An unexpectedly small percentage of this group took dietary fiber.

In the sulfonylurea (SU) patient group, the highest percentage took herbs as dietary

Table 2. The frequency of simultaneous use of individual anti-diabetic drugs and dietary supplements (% of patients)

Components of dietary supplements	Patients taking dietary supplements [%] (n = 77)	Patients simultaneously taking individual anti-diabetic drugs and dietary supplements [%]**			
		metformin (n = 43)	SU (n = 27)	acarbose (n = 5)	insulin (n = 34)
Mg	40.3	32.6	29.6	40.0	23.5
Ca	11.7	9.3	11.1	20.0	5.9
Cr	3.9	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other single minerals*	6.5	0.0	11.1	20.0	2.9
Multiminerals	6.5	2.3	3.7	0.0	8.8
Antioxidant vitamins	16.9	23.3	25.9	20.0	5.9
B-group vitamins	20.8	25.6	14.8	0.0	11.8
Vitamin D	5.2	7.0	3.7	0.0	2.9
Multi-vitamins	14.3	14.0	18.5	0.0	0.0
EPA and/or DHA	20.8	23.3	7.4	20.0	8.8
Milk thistle	11.7	18.6	3.7	20.0	2.9
White mulberry	6.5	11.6	11.1	0.0	8.8
Vilcacora	1.3	2.3	3.7	0.0	0.0
Other herbs	26.0	32.6	40.7	20.0	20.6
Algae**	5.2	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lutein	6.5	9.3	7.4	0.0	5.9
Coenzyme Q10	5.2	7.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
Glucosamine	2.6	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dietary fiber	2.6	4.7	0.0	20.0	2.9
Carnitine	1.3	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

* – Se, Zn, K, Fe; ** – spirulin, chlorella; SU – sulfonylurea; EPA – eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA – docosahexaenoic acid; ** – the sum of patients assigned to individual anti-diabetic drug groups does not equal all patients because of multi-treatment.

supplementation. About one third of the SU patient group took dietary supplements with magnesium. Every fourth SU patient took antioxidant vitamins. None of them took coenzyme Q10, glucosamine, algae, dietary fiber or L-carnitine as dietary supplements.

In the insulin patient group, magnesium was the most popular dietary supplement. Herbal dietary supplements were used by 20.6% of these patients. Other dietary supplements used in this group were B-group vitamins, multi-minerals, white mulberry and omega-3 fatty acids.

Several patients taking acarbose used dietary supplements containing magnesium, calcium, other single minerals (selenium, zinc, potassium, iron), antioxidant vitamins, milk thistle, other herbs, omega-3 fatty acids and dietary fiber.

Nutrient Intake

Nutrient intake was evaluated to assess whether the participants' dietary supplementation was really needed, and if there were any differences in nutrient intake from diets between the participants taking supplements and those who did not (Table 3).

The majority of the patients taking supplements had insufficient amounts of the following minerals in their diets: potassium (about 96% of the patients), calcium (all the patients) and magnesium (67.5% of patients). Surprisingly, the intake of these minerals in the non-supplemented patients was even more inadequate: in this group all the patients had inadequate dietary potassium and calcium, and 78% had inadequate dietary manganese. The median daily intake of trace minerals was very similar in the supplemented and the non-supplemented T2DM patients, and there were no statistically significant differences between them. In almost half of the participants (both the supplemented and the non-supplemented groups), the intake of zinc from their diets was insufficient.

Antioxidant vitamins (A, E, C and β -carotene) were provided by the participants' diets in very different amounts. The median intake of vitamin A from diets was 702.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ (137.4% of the EAR) in the supplemented group and 674.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ (121.9% of the EAR) in the non-supplemented group. The diets of both the supplemented and non-supplemented groups provided a high median amount of β -carotene, which met the upper level (UL) in 150% and 137.1%, respectively. The majority of the supplemented and non-supplemented groups reported an insufficient intake of vitamin E (87% and 83.6%) and vitamin C (61.0% and 53.4%) from their diets. Inadequate intake of B₁, B₆ and B₁₂ vitamins were noted respectively for 62.3%, 41.6% and 46.7% of the supplemented group and for 67.1%,

42.5% and 64.4% of the non-supplemented group. Compared to the supplemented patients, a statistically significant higher percentage of the non-supplemented patients reported an inadequate intake of vitamin B₁₂ from their diet ($p = 0.02$). A very high percentage of supplemented and non-supplemented patients (exceeding 90%) consumed insufficient amounts of folic acid. The mean intake of vitamin D by both the supplemented and non-supplemented participants was very low, reaching the EAR in only 20.4% and 15.8%, respectively; however, the difference between these two groups' vitamin D intake was statistically significant ($p = 0.02$). About 60% of the supplemented patients showed an insufficient intake of LC-PUFA, and an even higher percentage of non-supplemented patients (exceeding 80%) consumed LC-PUFAs at a level below the EAR; the difference between the two groups in meeting the EAR for LC-PUFAs was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$).

Discussion

This study focused on the frequency of dietary supplementation among T2DM patients in connection with the potential hazards of such supplementation. The mechanisms of interaction between the components of dietary supplements and oral anti-diabetic agents have not been explicitly described in the literature. The possible mechanisms of hypoglycemic action have been summarized for many dietary supplements, which makes it possible to hypothesize that drug-dietary supplement interaction may indeed occur [11–14]. In this study, almost half the T2DM patients took dietary supplements, which could indicate a high potential risk of interactions with drugs or nutrients.

Taking magnesium as a dietary supplement by a high percentage of the participants was rather positive in light of the dietary magnesium deficiency reported by both the supplemented and the non-supplemented groups of patients. Magnesium is an essential co-factor for the enzymes involved in glucose metabolism, and it improves the susceptibility of peripheral tissues to insulin [15]. T2DM patients are usually characterized by a lower serum concentration of this mineral compared to normal subjects, as a result of an inadequate supply of magnesium from food and/or increased elimination from the body [16]. A proper supply of this nutrient from food or supplementation may have a beneficial effect on glycemic control in T2DM patients [17].

Surprisingly, considering the fact that chromium supplementation is recommended to T2DM patients and influences carbohydrate metabolism,

Table 3. Nutrient intake by T2DM patients using and not using dietary supplements

Nutrients	Nutrient intake [median, range] % of EAR*/% of patients with nutrient intake below the cut-off point	
	T2DM patients using dietary supplements (n = 77)	T2DM patients not using dietary supplements (n = 73)
K [mg]	2491 (958.8–5346) 53.0/96.1	2505 (1004–4254) 53.3/100.0
Ca [mg]	356.7 (107.6–895.6) 27.4/100.0	344.1 (167.9–977.3) 27.0/100.0
Mg [mg]	243.4 (96.4 – 745.3) 81.3/67.5	248.1 (103.2–501.9) 87.1/78.1
Fe [mg]	8.7 (2.7–35.1) 144.2/19.5	8.1 (3.2–18.0) 135.4/16.9
Zn [mg]	7.7 (2.7–25.0) 100.6/49.3	7.6 (3.2–16.5) 100.7/48.0
Cu [mg]	0.9 (0.2–2.1) 129.4/27.3	0.9 (0.4–1.7) 125.1/23.3
Mn [mg]	4.7 (1.3–12.1) 173.9/15.6	4.8 (1.4–15.1) 174.7/12.3
Vitamin A [µg]	702.2 (271.2–7029) 137.4/20.8	674.1 (222.6–5226) 121.9/30.1
B-carotene [µg]	2897 (367.4–12670) 150/27.3	2636 (447.4–13385) 137.1/28.8
Vitamin E [µg]	5.8 (2.6–15.0) 65.1/87.0	5.1 (1.5–22.7) 60.6/83.6
Vitamin C [mg]	53.2 (17.3–213.0) 84.2/61.0	69.0 (11.3–172.6) 98.2/53.4
Vitamin B ₁ [mg]	0.9 (0.3–3.1) 92.7/62.3	0.9 (0.4–2.2) 90.0/67.1
Vitamin B ₂ [mg]	1.0 (0.4–2.8) 103.3/48.0	1.0 (0.5–2.3) 105.5/41.1
Vitamin B ₃ [mg]	13.8 (4.5–35.1) 120.9/35.1	13.5 (12.7–3.9) 112.9/41.1
Vitamin B ₆ [mg]	1.4 (0.5–3.6) 104.6/41.6	1.4 (0.6–2.8) 105.4/42.5
Vitamin B ₁₂ [µg]	2.1 (0.2–13.2) 106.3/46.7 ^b	1.7 (0.5–17.8) 82.7/64.4 ^b
Folic acid [µg]	199.0 (82.1 – 338.1) 62.2/94.8	193.6 (66.1–402.1) 60.5/90.4
Vitamin D [µg]	2.5 (0.2 – 14.5) 20.4 ^a /94.8	2.0 (0.3–11.2) 15.8 ^a /98.6
Dietary fiber	18.3 (3.4 – 42.8) 77.1/79.2	16.7 (6.4–37.2) 75.3/84.9
LC – PUFA	0.1 (0.0 – 1.9) 46.0 ^a /59.7 ^b	0.1 (0.0–1.1) 25.1 ^a /82.2 ^b

^a statistically significant differences in meeting the EAR (AI, UL) of nutrition between supplemented and non-supplemented patients (*U* Mann Whitney; *p* < 0.05); ^bstatistically significant differences in percentage of supplemented and non-supplemented patients whose intake of nutrients was below the cut-off point; * – the upper level (UL) was taken for β-carotene to compare the intake of this provitamin, whereas for Na, K, Mn and dietary fiber, adequate intake (AI) was used for comparison.

only a very small percentage of the recruited patients used supplements containing it. Chromium supplementation can be beneficial for fasting serum glucose, total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations and the percentage of HbA1c, as well as body weight and insulin sensitivity in T2DM patients [18–20]. On the other hand, it was not possible to evaluate chromium intake from the participants' diets, so it is unknown whether there was any necessity to supplement their diets with this trace mineral.

Fewer than 20% of the participants used antioxidant vitamins as dietary supplements. Antioxidants can reduce hyperglycemia-induced tissue damage and may be effective in preventing the progression of the chronic complications of diabetes [15]. Chen et al. [21] demonstrated the synergistic action of retinoids and insulin in the induction of glucokinase gene expression due to the activation of the retinoic acid receptor and retinoid X receptor, which may help in glucose homeostasis. In turn, vitamin E supplementation by T2DM patients can help to reduce the risk of developing cardiovascular disease by preventing the oxidation of low-density lipoproteins [15]. Shinde et al. [22] demonstrated a reduction in oxidative stress and an improvement in antioxidant enzyme activity and vascular endothelial function in T2DM patients treated with anti-diabetic agents supplemented with vitamin E, as compared with patients treated only with glucose-lowering drugs. However, the pro-oxidative action of vitamin E, which depends on the dose, the duration of supplementation and the duration of diabetes, may alter the expected effect of supplementation [22]. In the present study, the participants' dietary intake of vitamin E and C were rather inadequate, therefore supplementation with these antioxidants would be probably favorable.

It was also advisable for the patients' diets to be supplemented with B-group vitamins (mainly B₆) because of insufficient vitamin B intake from their diets. Dietary supplementation with B-group vitamins, as used by 20.8% of the supplemented patients in this study, may be helpful in the prevention and treatment of chronic complications of the cardiovascular and nervous systems of T2DM patients [23]. It can also prevent vitamin B₁₂ deficiencies, which are common among T2DM patients treated with metformin [24]. It has also been shown that vitamin B₁₂ status can be improved by calcium supplementation [25], which was reported by only about 10% of the patients treated with metformin; this is unfortunate, given their very poor dietary calcium intake.

Only a small percentage of the patients in the present study took vitamin D as a dietary supplement. Considering the dietary deficiencies of this

vitamin shown in almost all the patients from both the supplemented and non-supplemented groups, it would be desirable for them to supplement their diets with this vitamin. Vitamin D plays an important role in the proper secretion and activity of insulin. Specific receptors for vitamin D are located on the surface of islet β cells, and binding vitamin D to them may enhance insulin secretion. Vitamin D is also known to be involved in maintaining the proper extracellular concentration of calcium ions and their flow into the pancreatic β cells. An increased concentration of calcium ions in the cytosol of β cells promotes the release of insulin [26]. Sulfonylurea (SU) has a similar mechanism for enhanced insulin secretion [26]. In the current study, only some of the patients taking SU used vitamin D as a dietary supplement. The intake of vitamin D and calcium from these patients' diets was insufficient to enhance the pharmacological effect of SU.

Dietary supplementation with EPA/DHA is recommended for individuals with determined dietary deficiencies in LC-PUFAs. LC-PUFAs affect lipid-carbohydrate metabolism and exhibit insulinotropic and anti-inflammatory activity through membrane receptors [27]. They also inhibit the expression and activity of pro-inflammatory cytokines and decrease the activity of the pro-inflammatory nuclear factor β (NF- β), which promotes the expression and increases the activity of many pro-inflammatory genes and molecules, e.g. cytokines and chemokines that induce insulin resistance [28]. An increased intake of LC-PUFAs (EPA, DHA) also elicits an increase in skeletal muscle membrane fluidity, the number of insulin receptors and insulin action [29]. Sankar et al. [30] also demonstrated the synergistic activity of sesame oil, consisting of 43% PUFA, with glibenclamide. Patients simultaneously taking this anti-diabetic drug and sesame oil had significantly better biochemical results of carbohydrate metabolism than those who used only glibenclamide or only sesame oil.

Among the T2DM patients recruited for the present study, many took dietary supplements containing herbal ingredients that can interact with anti-diabetic drugs. For example, SU is metabolized primarily in the liver, in particular by CYP2C9 and to a lesser extent by CYP3A4. Inhibitors and inducers of CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 9 (e.g. herbs) may alter the pharmacokinetics of sulfonylurea, which may affect its final therapeutic effect [31].

Taking white mulberry-containing supplements while using anti-diabetic agents can be very hazardous due to the additional hypoglycemic properties of this supplement. Mulberry contains 1-deoxynojirimycin (DNJ), which inhibits the α -glucosidase enzyme and fagomine; this, in turn, induces insulin secretion [11]. Spirulina also has

antihyperglycemic properties, according to the literature [14]. The probable mechanisms of spirulina action are stimulation of pancreatic islet β cells to secrete insulin and/or to increase the transport of glucose into the peripheral tissue [14]; this significantly increases the probability of SU-spirulina interaction. In this study, only a low percentage of patients (5.2%) took the spirulina as a dietary supplement, therefore the potential risk of overlapping hypoglycemic activities was very low.

The study assessed the participants' nutrient intake and compared the results from the supplemented and non-supplemented patient groups. It turned out to be very similar in both groups. Surprisingly, the supplemented patients had some nutrients in their diets in higher median amounts than the non-supplemented patients did (vitamins A, E, D, B₃, B₁₂, β -carotene, and LC-PUFA), but no statistically significant differences were observed between these 2 groups except for K, vitamin D, vitamin B₁₂ and LC-PUFAs intake. It seems that the patients' decisions to take dietary supplements were rather haphazard and did not result from a preliminary assessment of their nutrient intake.

The authors also found that the participants' diets showed high deficiencies of some nutrients that are critical in the dietary care of diabetic patients, including vitamin E, vitamin C, folic acid and vitamin D, as well as potassium, calcium, magnesium and LC-PUFAs. In the light of these results, dietary supplementation could improve the nutritional status of T2DM patients. On the other hand, the first step to enhance nutritional status would involve changes in incorrect dietary habits.

The authors concluded that proper comprehensive care for T2DM patients entails pharmacotherapy and many complementary factors, such as appropriate nutritional status and use of dietary supplements. The potential risk of interactions among the nutritional components of food, dietary supplements and anti-diabetic drugs may change the expected results of pharmacotherapy due to the supplements' impact on the pharmacokinetic or (more frequently) the pharmacodynamic properties of the drug. Dietary supplementation with hypoglycemic effects and an ill-balanced diet were reported in a high percentage of the T2DM patients recruited for this study, which indicates a very possible risk of interaction with the anti-diabetic drugs they used. In view of the above, patients should always consult physicians regarding dietary supplementation, and trained medical staff should routinely assess T2DM patients' dietary intake to avoid hazardous changes in the activity of drugs.

This study has several limitations and uncertainties. Although data was collected on co-existing cardiovascular disease and dyslipidemia, the patients were not asked about drugs used in these and other diseases. Multi-treatment is a very strong risk factor for interaction and may interfere with glycemic control, certainly more than dietary supplementation and/or an ill-balanced diet. Additionally no information was obtained regarding the surveyed patients' adherence to medication. As Cramer et al. [32] pointed out, poor adherence to anti-diabetic drugs results in poor glycemic control.

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully thank the director of Dobrzyńska Medical Center, Maciej Sokółowski MD, and the medical staff there for the opportunity to conduct interviews with diabetic patients.

References

- [1] Yoshimura Y, Kamada C, Takahashi K, Kaimoto T, Satoshi I, Ohashi Y, Araki A, Umegaki H, Sakurai T, Ito H: The Japanese Elderly Diabetes Intervention Trial Study Group: Relations of nutritional intake to age, sex and body mass index in Japanese elderly patients with type 2 diabetes: the Japanese Elderly Diabetes Intervention Trial. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2012, 12, 29–40.
- [2] Ahn H J, Min KW, Cho YO: Assessment of vitamin B6 status in Korean patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Nutr Res Pract* 2011, 5, 34–39.
- [3] Oh HM, Yoon JY, Cho SH, Yoon JS: Vitamin A and vitamin E status of diabetic patients and normal adults in Korea. *Korean J Nutr* 2009, 42, 318–326.
- [4] Bleich SN, Wang YC: Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages among adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2011, 34, 551–555.
- [5] Chaudhary DP, Sharma R, Bansal DD: Implications of magnesium deficiency in type 2 diabetes: a review. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2010, 134, 119–129.
- [6] Walker AF: Potential micronutrient deficiency lacks recognition in diabetes. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007, 57, 3–4.
- [7] Ustawa o bezpieczeństwie żywności i żywienia z dnia 29 czerwca 2010 r. Dz. U. 2010 r. Nr 136, poz. 914 z późn. zm.
- [8] Suliburska J, Bogdański P: Ocena sposobu żywienia, stanu odżywienia oraz ryzyka występowania interakcji leków z żywnością u pacjentów z cukrzycą typu 2. *Farm Współ* 2011, 4, 3–8.
- [9] Jarosz M: Normy żywienia dla populacji polskiej – nowelizacja. Wyd. IŻŻ, Warszawa 2012.
- [10] European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies: Scientific opinion on dietary reference value for manganese. *EFSA Journal* 2013, 11, 3419–3444.

- [11] **Mudra M, Ercan-Fang N, Zhong L, Furne J, Levitt M:** Influence of mulberry leaf extract on the blood glucose and breath hydrogen response to ingestion of 75 g sucrose by type 2 diabetic and control subjects. *Diabetes Care* 2007, 30, 1272–1274.
- [12] **Sheng X, Zhang Y, Gong Z, Huang C, Zang YQ:** Improved insulin resistance and lipid metabolism by cinnamon extract through activation of peroxisome proliferator – activated receptors. *PPAR Res* 2008, 1–9.
- [13] **Horvath EM, Tackett L, McCarthy AM, Raman P, Brozinick JT, Elmendorf JS:** Antidiabetogenic effects of chromium mitigate hyperinsulinemia – induced cellular insulin resistance via correction of plasma membrane cholesterol imbalance. *Mol Endocrinol* 2008, 22, 937–950.
- [14] **Layam A, Reddy CLK:** Antidiabetic property of spirulina. *Diabet Croat* 2006, 35, 29–33.
- [15] **Guerrero-Romero F, Rodgiguez-Moran M:** Complementary therapies for diabetes: the case for chromium, magnesium and antioxidants. *Arch Med Res* 2005, 36, 250–257.
- [16] **Wälti MK, Zimmermann MB, Spinass GA, Hurrell RF:** Low plasma magnesium in type 2 diabetes. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2003, 133, 289–292.
- [17] **Dong J, Xun P, He K, Qin L:** Magnesium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2011, 34, 2116–2122.
- [18] **Rabinowitz H, Friedensohn A, Leibovitz A, Gabay G, Rocas C, Habot B:** Effect of chromium supplementation on blood glucose and lipid levels in type 2 diabetes mellitus elderly patients. *Int. J Vitam Nutr Res* 2004, 74, 178–182.
- [19] **Martin J, Wang ZQ, Zhang XH, Wachtel D, Volaufova J, Matthews DE, Cefalu, WT:** Chromium picolinate supplementation attenuates body weight gain and increases insulin sensitivity in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2006, 29, 1826–1832.
- [20] **Broadhurst CL, Domenico P:** Clinical studies on chromium picolinate supplementation in diabetes mellitus – a review. *Diab Technol Ther* 2006, 8, 677–687.
- [21] **Chen G, Zhang Y, Lu D, Li N, Ross AC:** Retinoids synergize with insulin to induce hepatic Gck expression. *Biochem J* 2009, 419, 645–653.
- [22] **Shinde SN, Dhadke VN, Suryakar AN:** Evaluation of oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes mellitus and follow-up along with vitamin E supplementation. *Ind J Clin Biochem* 2011, 26, 74–77.
- [23] **Martini LA, Catania AS, Ferreira SRG:** Role of vitamins and minerals in prevention and management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nutr Rev* 2010, 68, 341–354.
- [24] **Liu KW, Dai DLK., Ho W, Lau E, Woo J:** Metformin – associated vitamin B₁₂ deficiency in the elderly. *Asian J Gerontol Geriatr* 2011, 6, 82–87.
- [25] **Bauman WA, Shaw S, Jayatilleke E, Spungen AM, Herbert V:** Increased intake of calcium reverses vitamin B₁₂ malabsorption induced by metformin. *Diabetes Care* 2000, 23, 1227–1231.
- [26] **Pittas AG, Lau J, Hu FB, Dawson-Hughes B:** The role of vitamin D and calcium in type 2 diabetes. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007, 92, 2017–2029.
- [27] **Flash P, Rossmeisl M, Bryhn M, Kopecky J:** Cellular and molecular effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on adipose tissue biology and metabolism. *Clin Sci* 2009, 116, 1–16.
- [28] **Singer P, Shapiro H, Theilla M, Anbar R, Singer J, Cohen J:** Anti-inflammatory properties of omega-3 fatty acids in critical illness: novel mechanisms and an integrative perspective. *Int Care Med* 2008, 34, 1580–1592.
- [29] **Martín de Santa Olalla L, Sánchez Muniz FJ, Vaquero MP:** n-3 fatty acids in glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity. *Nutr Hospital* 2009, 24, 113–127.
- [30] **Sankar D, Ali A, Sambandam G, Rao R:** Sesame oil exhibits synergistic effect with anti-diabetic medication in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Nutr* 2011, 30, 351–358.
- [31] **Holstein A, Beil W:** Oral antidiabetic drug metabolism: pharmacogenomics and drug interactions. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 2009, 5, 225–241.
- [32] **Cramer JA:** A systematic review of adherence with medication for diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004, 27, 1218–1224.

Address for correspondence:

Katarzyna Zabłocka-Słowińska
Department of Food Science and Nutrition
Wrocław Medical University
Borowska 211
50-556 Wrocław
Poland
Tel: + 48 71 784 05 10
E-mail: katarzynazablocka0112@gmail.com

Conflict of interest: None declared

Received: 18.12.2013

Revised: 21.05.2014

Accepted: 15.10.2014